Appendix no. 3

Tree Evaluation Method for Preservation Orders (TEMPO)

TREE EVALUATION METHOD FOR PRESERVATION ORDERS (TEMPO):

SURVEY DATA SHEET & DECISION GUIDE

Date: 12				***************************************	
Owner (if	Is 467 (2010) known): Lancasteron. Land east Lancs of Bailing	W(Tree/Group No: Beckwood	Species:	everg Semi.	deciduous + en species natural Form t woodonds.t
a) Condition	nity assessment & suitability for TPO: dance Note for definitions	8, lancaster.		beec	ourch other, och Lucron, hod Horn, blackt
(5) Good 3) Fair 1) Poor 0) Unsafe 0) Dead	Highly suitable Suitable Unlikely to be suitable Unsuitable Unsuitable	Score & Notes	Treas	*	acrall Cords
	g longevity (in years) & suitabi cies Guide' section in Guidance l				
5) 100+ (4) 40-100 2) 20-40 1) 10-20 0) <10	Highly suitable Very suitable Suitable Just suitable Unsuitable	Score & Notes Several (1) Oak, beech	Score & Notes Some brees have potention several loops remaining longerity. Dak, beech, Sycore.		
Consider real 5) Very large	ublic visibility & suitability for istic potential for future visibility trees, or large trees that are prom	with changed land use; re sinent landscape features	Highly suitab		Score & Notes
3) Medium trees2) Small trees	s, or medium trees clearly visible ees, or larger trees with limited vis, or larger trees visible only with mall, or trees not visible to the present the present trees are trees as the present trees are trees ar	iew only	Suitable fust suitable Unlikely to be Probably unsi	e suitable	Dannberce Public untage Pants
d) Other fact Trees must ha	ors we accrued 7 or more points (wit	h no zero score) to qualify			
4) Members of 3) Trees with 2) Trees of pa	omponents of arboricultural feature of groups of trees important for the identifiable historic, commemoraticularly good form, especially in the above additional redefined.	eir cohesion ative or habitat importance f rare or unusual	Score & Notes (5) Notes importance was trees veteral potentions		
	diency assessment ve accrued 9 or more points to qu	ualify; refer to Guidance N			
5) Known thrown thrown thrown 2) Perceived to 1) Precautions 0) Tree known	e threat to tree hreat to tree	Score & Note Planny	Score & Notes & Planny application - 2x und turbries		
Part 3: Decis	ioń guide	**************************************	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·		
Any 0 1-6 7-10 11 <u>-1</u> 4	Do not apply TPO TPO indefensible Does not merit TPO TPO defensible	Add Scores f	or Total:	Decis	ion:

Definitely merits TPO

North copse -wz

TREE EVALUATION METHOD FOR PRESERVATION ORDERS (TEMPO):

SURVEY DATA SHEET & DECISION GUIDE

Date: 13 (2 (10 Surveyor: MIT mixed broadled Tree details TPO Ref: 467 (2010) Scots pne + veteran Tree/Group No: Species: Owner (if known): Oak + loosech (W)2 Location: Part 1: Amenity assessment a) Condition & suitability for TPO: Refer to Guidance Note for definitions (5))Good Highly suitable Score & Notes (S) 3) Fair Suitable Good condition - inporter 1) Poor Unlikely to be suitable 0) Unsafe Unsuitable menty. 0) Dead Unsuitable b) Remaining longevity (in years) & suitability for TPO: Refer to 'Species Guide' section in Guidance Note (5)100+Highly suitable Score & Notes (S) 4) 40-100 Very suitable Contains veteron trees - some 2) 20-40 Suitable 1) 10-20 Just suitable trees have significant remain 0) < 10Unsuitable c) Relative public visibility & suitability for TPO: Consider realistic potential for future visibility with changed land use; refer to Guidance Note (5) Wery large trees, or large trees that are prominent landscape features Highly suitable Score & Notes 4) Large trees, or medium trees clearly visible to the public Suitable) promet 3) Medium trees, or larger trees with limited view only Just suitable FRANCE 2) Small trees, or larger trees visible only with difficulty Unlikely to be suitable 1) Young, v. small, or trees not visible to the public, regardless of size Probably unsuitable d) Other factors Trees must have accrued 7 or more points (with no zero score) to qualify (5) Principal components of arboricultural features, or veteran trees Score & Notes 4) Members of groups of trees important for their cohesion Many vetera I potenta 3) Trees with identifiable historic, commemorative or habitat importance 2) Trees of particularly good form, especially if rare or unusual vetera beet 1) Trees with none of the above additional redeeming features Part 2: Expediency assessment Trees must have accrued 9 or more points to qualify; refer to Guidance Note Xnown threat to tree OFF-S. Le trees: threats Score & Notes 3) Foreseeable threat to tree From development activity in Doble 2) Perceived threat to tree 1) Precautionary only 0) Tree known to be an actionable nuisance Part 3: Decision guide Any 0 Do not apply TPO Add Scores for Total: Decision: 1-6 TPO indefensible 7-10 Does not merit TPO

225

TPO defensible Definitely merits TPO Seue TPD

Motorway wood - w3

TREE EVALUATION METHOD FOR PRESERVATION ORDERS (TEMPO):

SURVEY DATA SHEET & DECISION GUIDE

Date: (31	zlio Surveyor:	M
Tree detail TPO Ref: Owner (if k Location:	s 467(2010) mowa): Lacs. M	Tree/Group No: Species: Specie S. Oak, ash, chery, bird, beech, hazel, hathon, holly
Part 1: Amer	nity assessment	has thon, holly
a) Condition	& suitability for TPO: ance Note for definitions	
5) Good 3) Fair 1) Poor 0) Unsafe 0) Dead	Highly suitable Suitable Unlikely to be suitable Unsuitable Unsuitable	Score & Notes (S) Good Cord Cord
,		LINE CONTROL
b) Remaining	g longevity (in years) & sui cies Guide' section in Guida	tability for 1PO:
Keter to apo	cics cardo socitor in carac	(5)
(5) 100+	Highly suitable	Score & Notes
4) 40-100 2) 20-40	Very suitable Suitable	many trees have potential for
1) 10-20	Just suitable	los yers remaining largevity.
0) <10	Unsuitable	Score & Notes Many trees have potential For 100:s years remaining largevity. (Oats 40-50%)
5) Very large 4) Large trees 3) Medium tr 2) Small trees 1) Young, v. s	trees, or large trees that are post, or medium trees clearly vises, or larger trees with limits, or larger trees visible only small, or trees not visible to too.	with difficulty when public, regardless of size Just suitable Unlikely to be suitable Probably unsuitable
Trees must ha	ave accrued 7 or more points	(with no zero score) to qualify
4) Members of 3) Trees with 2) Trees of pa	omponents of arboricultural of groups of trees important identifiable historic, commonticularly good form, especinone of the above additional	for their cohesion emorative or habitat importance ally if rare or unusual Stort a House Potential vetera vees;
Part 2: Expe Trees must ha	diency assessment ave accrued 9 or more points	s to qualify; refer to Guidance Note
5) Known thi	reat to tree	Score & Notes
(3)Foreseeabl	le threat to tree	Planne application.
2) Perceived		13.3
1) Precaution 0) Tree know	ary only n to be an actionable nuisan	ce
Part 3: Decis	sion guide	
Δην	Do not apply TPO	Add Scores for Total: Decision:
Any 0 1-6	TPO indefensible	
7-10	Does not merit TPO	22 SeveTPD
11-14	TPO defensible	
((3)→	©efinitely merits TPO	ノ

Land at porcs unu. TREE EVALUATION METHOD FOR PRESERVATION ORDERS (TEMPO):

	SURVEY DATA	SHEET & DECISION	GUIDE		
Date: \ Z	-02-10 Surveyor: HH	(
Tree detai TPÓ Ref: Owner (if Location:	467 (2010) Ir	ee/Group No:	Species: T	- Fycomore	
a) Condition	nity assessment & suitability for TPO: dance Note for definitions			7-00-K	
5) Good 3) Fair 1) Poor 0) Unsafe 0) Dead	Highly suitable Suitable Unlikely to be suitable Unsuitable Unsuitable	TI-T4; T6-	TT: go	poor cordition of condition, I veteran brees, 300+yrs, orgalold Alder - 150.	
	g longevity (in years) & suitability cies Guide' section in Guidance Note	for TPO:	(S)		
(5)100+ 4)40-100 2)20-40 1)10-20 0)<10	Highly suitable Very suitable Suitable Just suitable Unsuitable	Score & Notes		potertial for re yeas.	
Consider reali 5) Very large to 4) Large trees 3) Medium trees 2) Small trees	ublic visibility & suitability for TPoistic potential for future visibility with trees, or large trees that are prominer, or medium trees clearly visible to the trees, or larger trees with limited view, or larger trees visible only with difficult, or trees not visible to the public	th changed land use; refer at landscape features His ne public Su only Ju- ficulty Ur		Score & Notes (4) High VS.W.	
d) Other fact Trees must ha	ors ve accrued 7 or more points (with no	zero score) to qualify			
4) Members o3) Trees with i2) Trees of part	omponents of arboricultural features, f groups of trees important for their of dentifiable historic, commemorative rticularly good form, especially if randone of the above additional redeeming the street of the str	ohesion or habitat importance e or unusual	stat importance Uetern + occent trees		
	liency assessment we accrued 9 or more points to qualif	y; refer to Guidance No	te		
5) Known thre 3) Foreseeable 2) Perceived th 1) Precautiona 0) Tree known	threat to tree areat to tree	Score & Notes	Score & Notes Plang application - 2x und turbnes		
Part 3: Decisi	on guide				
Any 0 1-6 7-10 11-14	Do not apply TPO TPO indefensible Does not merit TPO TPO defensible	Add Scores for	Total:	Decision:	

Definitely merits TPO